

**24.
FINAL INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP) REVIEW AND AMENDMENT
FOR 2020/21**

2/12/1

R Louw

Senior Manager: Strategic Services

13 May 2020

(028) 313 8071

1. Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to present the final reviewed IDP and amendment for the 2020/21 financial year. This will be the 3rd reviewed document and 1st proposed amendment for the 2017/2021 IDP cycle.

2. Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan - IGNITE

Management Services
Strategic Services

3. Compliance with Strategic Priority

Provision of democratic, accountable and ethical governance

4. Delegated Authority

None

5. Legal Requirements

Section 34 of the Local Government: Municipal Systems Act, 2000 (32 of 2000) [Systems Act]

6. Background

In terms of Section 34 of the Systems Act a Municipal Council **must review** its Integrated Development Plan (IDP) on an annual basis. The review process started in August 2019 with inputs from councillors, ward committees and officials.

In addition, Section 34 (b) of the Systems Act states that a Municipal Council **may amend** its IDP in accordance with a prescribed process which is set out in Regulation 3, of the Municipal Systems Act (MSA) Planning and Performance Management Regulations of 2001.

Considering the draft amendment of the Municipal Spatial Development Framework (SDF) as well as the new strategic directions of Provincial and National Government for their new 5 year term of office (i.e. 2019 -2024) an amendment of the current 5 year IDP was proposed. The process for the 3rd review (2020/21) and amendment of the current 5 year IDP, as regulated by

Section 34 (a-b) of the Municipal Systems Act (MSA) were run concurrently.

Discussion

The draft reviewed IDP and proposed amendment for 2020/21 which was tabled in Council on 25 March 2020 was advertised for public scrutiny and comment in the local newspapers. Attached is a copy of the advert which appeared in the Hermanus Times, Overstrand Herald and Gansbaai Courant on 26 March 2020. (Annexure A).

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic the scheduled April 2020 public meetings had to be cancelled, because public gatherings were prohibited. However the draft IDP review and proposed amendment, draft Budget and draft sector plans were advertised on electronic media platforms and the municipal website. The public was afforded the opportunity of commenting on the draft IDP review and proposed amendment until 28 April 2020.

For the 2020/21 IDP review and amendment the strategic direction (Vision, Mission and Strategic objectives) of the current 5 year Integrated Development Plan (IDP) **remains unchanged**.

The 2020/21 IDP review and amendment document is structured into fourteen (14) Chapters. A section on the Municipality's response to the COVID-19 pandemic was inserted in Chapter 11, Disaster Management in the Final document.

Public comments received

Three comments were received during the public comment period (Annexure B- Mrs Pat Redford, Mr Michael Raimondo and AfriForum). The comments are addressed within the annexures (in blue).

The Overberg District Municipality acknowledged and supported the Municipality's intention to amend our 5 year IDP (Annexure C).

The Western Cape Provincial Government annually assesses the draft IDP's and Budgets of municipalities and feedback is given at the Strategic Integrated Municipal Engagement (SIME) (formally known as the LGMTEC engagement). The virtual assessment held on 4 May 2020 concluded that Overstrand Municipality's Draft Reviewed IDP and proposed amendment for 2020/21 have met the requirements stipulated in section 26 of the MSA.

7. Financial Implications

Provision was made on the operating budget to cover the costs associated with compilation of the document. The document was compiled in-house.

8. Staff Implications

Internal Staff

9. Comments from other Departments, Divisions and Administrations

Additional comments were received from Directorates to finalise the IDP Review and amendment.

10. Annexures

Annexure A: Advertisement which appeared in the Hermanus Times, Overstrand Herald and Gansbaai Courant

Annexure B: IDP public comments from Pat Redford, Michael Raimondo and AfriForum

Annexure C: Letter from Overberg District Municipality on draft IDP review and proposed amendment for 2020/21

Annexure D: Final IDP review and amendment for 2020/21 (**to be distributed on a memory stick**)

RECOMMENDATION TO THE COUNCIL:

that the final IDP review and amendment for 2020/21 **be approved**.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL :

R LOUW

TARGET DATE FOR IMPLEMENTATION :

1 JULY 2020



OPPORTUNITY TO SUBMIT REPRESENTATIONS:

- 1. DRAFT INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP) REVIEW AND PROPOSED AMENDMENT FOR 2020/21 AND RELATED DOCUMENTS**
- 2. DRAFT 5TH GENERATION INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN (IWMP)**
- 3. DRAFT AMENDED SPATIAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK (SDF), 2020**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the following documentation is available for comment:

- Draft Integrated Development Plan (IDP) review and proposed amendment in terms of section 34 of the Municipal Systems Act No 32 of 2000
- Draft key performance indicators (KPI's) and targets for 2020/21 (Draft Service Delivery and Budget Implementation Plan (SDBIP);
- Draft 5th Generation Integrated Waste Management Plan (IWMP) as a sectoral plan to the IDP in terms of the National Environmental Management: Waste Act, 2008 (Act no 59 of 2008)
- Draft amended Overstrand Municipal Spatial Development Framework, 2020 (SDF) in terms of Section 27(d) of the Municipal Systems Act No. 32 of 2000 and Section 20(3) of the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act, 2013 (Act 16 of 2013).

The local community is invited to submit representations in connection with the four documents to the Municipality by submitting such representations on or before 28 April 2020 to the Municipal Manager (For attention Ms. R Louw -Draft IDP review and proposed amendment & Draft SDBIP and Mr. C Mitchell – Draft IWMP and Mr. R Kuchar – Draft amended SDF) at the under-mentioned address or fax number.

The Council will consider the Final IDP review and amendment for 2020/21, Final 5th generation IWMP and Final amended SDF (2020) together with all the comments and representations received during a meeting which is scheduled to take place on 27 May 2020.

The four documents will be available for perusal during office hours at the offices of the Area Managers in Gansbaai, Stanford, Hermanus and Kleinmond, in all the public libraries in the Overstrand, the Corporate Head Office of the municipality in Hermanus and on the municipality's website at www.overstrand.gov.za.

Persons who cannot write can visit the Area Managers in Gansbaai, Stanford, Hermanus and Kleinmond during office hours where such persons will be assisted to transcribe their comments or representations.

**C GROENEWALD
MUNICIPAL MANAGER**

Overstrand Municipality
PO Box 20
HERMANUS
7200
Notice number: 39/2020

Fax number: (028) 313 8030



ITHUBA LOKUVAKALISA IZIMVO:

1. **UYILO LOQULUNQO LWESICWANGCISO SOKUPHUHLISWA (IDP) KUNYE NESILUNGISO ESEZIWA NGO-KOWAMA 2020/2021 KUNYE NAMAXWEBHU AHAMBELANA NAWO**
2. **UYILO LWESI-5 LWESICWANGCISO SOKUDITYANISWA KOLAWULO LWENKUNKUMA (IWMP)**
3. **UYILO LOHLENGAHLENGISO LOPHUHLISO LWENDAWO (SDF), 2020**

ESI SISAZISO sokuba lamaxwebhu alandelayo ayafumaneka ukuba abantu bavakalise izimvo zabo ngawo:

- Isicwangciso esiqulunqwayo sophuhliso oludibeneyo (IDP) kunye nesindululo sasilungiso ngokwecandelo lama-34(a) loMthetho weNkqubo zoMasipala uNombolo 32 wowama-2000;
- Ukuhlaziywa kwezibonisi eziphambili zokusebenza (KPI's) nezinto ekujoliswe kuzo kowama-2020/21 (Isicwangciso esiqulunqwayo sokunikezelwa kweenkonzo nokusetyenziswa kwebhajethi (SDBIP);
- Uyilo lwesiCwangciso soLawulo lweNkunkuma esiyi-5th njengesiCwangciso seCandelo kwi-IDP ngokubhekisele kulawulo lwezeNdalo kuZwelonke: Umthetho weNkunkuma, ka-2008 (Act no 59 ka-2008)
- Uyilo luhlaziywe isiCwangciso-nkqubo soPhuculo lweMihlaba kaMasipala wase-Overstrand, 2020 (SDF) ngokweCandelo lama-27 (d) loMthetho weNkqubo zikaMasipala onguNombolo 32 ka-2000 kunye neCandelo lama-20 (3) loMthetho woCwangciso lwemihlaba kunye noMthetho woLawulo lokuSetyenziswa koMhlaba ka-2013 (Umthetho 16 ka-2013)

Uluntu luyamenywa ukuba lungenise izimvo zalo kuMasipala malunga nala maxwebhu maNe. Babhalele uMphathi kaMasipala ngokuthi bafake izimvo phambi okanye ngomhla wama-28 Epreli 2020 kodwa kungabi semva kolu suku kuMphathi kaMasipala (kubhalelwe ku:Ms. R Louw –uqwalaselo ngokutsha koYilo lwe-IDP kunye noYilo lwe-SDBIP kunye noMnu. C Mitchell – Uyilo lwe IWMP kunye noMnu. R Kuchar – Uyilo loqulunqo lwe-SDF) kule dilesi ilapha ngezantsi okanye ifekisi.

IBhunga liza kulujonga uhlaziyo lokugqibela lwe-IDP Uhlengahlengiso lowama-2020/2021, isihlanu sokugqibela se-IWMP kunye ne-SDF yokugqibela efakelwe izilungiso ka(2020) nazo zonke izimvo eziye zaveliswa nezo zingene ngexesha lentlanganiso emiselwe umhla wama-27 Meyi 2020.

La maxwebhu maNe aza kufumaneka ngexesha leeyure zomsebenzi kwii-ofisi zabalawuli beNgingqi eGansbaai, eStanford, eHermanus naseKleinmond, kuwo onke amathala eencwadi eOverstrand, kwaNdlunkulu eHermanus nakwi-webhusayithi kamasipala ku- www.overstrand.gov.za.

Abantu abangakwaziyo ukubhala bangandwendwela aba Phathi be Ngingqi e-Gansbaai, Stanford, Hermanus nase Kleinmond ngamaxesha omsebenzi apho lomtu anokufumana uncedo ekubhaleni ezakhe izimvo.

**C GROENEWALD
UMPHATHI KAMASIPALA**

Umasipala waseOverstrand
PO Box 20
Hermanus
7200

inombolo ye fax: (028) 313 8030

Inombolo yesaziso:39/2020

Public Comment

Draft IDP 2020/2021 and Draft Budget Report 2020/2021

From:

P. Redford

patred@iafrica.com

*Responses provided in blue text in relation to the draft IDP inputs only.

1) **Mayors Speech: March 2020** (introduction to Overstrand Budget 2020/2021)

*"It is proposed to increase Property Rates across the board by 6.96%. By now I am sure that it is common knowledge that I lodged a complaint with the South African Police Ombudsman regarding the fact that SAPS are unable to perform their constitutional mandate of maintaining law and order in the Overstrand. In his report the Ombudsman confirmed that this is so as a result largely of the lack of staff at our police stations. In the meantime the mandate of Municipal Law Enforcement officers has been expanded so that they may now prevent, but not combat crime. To this end we will be increasing the staff compliment and facilities of the Municipal Law Enforcement division, **which will include establishing a K9 unit with offices in Gansbaai, Hermanus and Kleinmond. The increased funding from property rates will be utilized to finance these increased services.**"*

In previous statements regarding the K9 unit, the mayor is quoted as saying that he has been provided with a budget of **R4million for the establishment of a K9 unit, by Province.**

- a) Please explain how the increase in rates is related to the provision of the K9 unit?
- b) Please provide an explanation of amounts allocated to the K9 unit by Province?

Response to a- b:

The K9-unit was established for the prevention and combatting of abalone poaching as well as for the prevention and combatting of narcotics.

The Western Cape Provincial Government allocated R10 million over 3 financial years for the establishment of the K9-unit. The funding will be utilised to establish, equip and staff the unit.

- c) I note an amount of some R3, 8 million already spent on K9 unit according to the IDP detail. What was this for?

Response:

Purchasing of vehicles, establishment of facilities and staffing.

- d) How many law enforcement officers, in total, will there be in Hermanus, specifically 2020/2021.

Response:

The entire Overstrand municipal area will be staffed by 49 officers once all appointed, of which 24 will be allocated to the Greater Hermanus area (i.e. working from Fisherhaven to Voëlklip).

2) Operating Framework 1.4 (page 6)

"Vat on property rates is levied at a rate of 0%."

Please explain why the SRA levies MIGHT be taxed??

- a) I request a proper **legitimate Tax Directive from SARS** and for this to be made public, in the public interest. A vague statement from the CFO of OM is simply not acceptable. A proper reference as to where the CFO has obtained this directive is required.
- b) I note in **the table 6, line item RAT11** rated as 0.000610, and under the vat incl. or excl. it reflects '**new**'. What does this mean?
(Property rates are the second largest revenue source therefore the impact of the actual amounts collected are deeply relevant). Just because certain 'other SRA's charge VAT' doesn't mean it is correct. OM must do the **correct** thing. (Because all the other kids in the class cheated in maths, doesn't help my kids. I don't care who else cheated. I want my kids to pass!)

Please do not defer me to the HSRA Steering committee, as they do not have the answer.

3) MFMA Circular, page 20 of 29

8.5 Publication of budgets on municipal websites In terms of section 75 of the MFMA, all municipalities are required to publish their tabled budgets, adopted budgets, annual reports (containing audited annual financial statements) and other relevant information on the municipality's website. This will aid in promoting public accountability and good governance.

After requesting details of a line item in the draft budget for Environmental Management, I was advised that the particular item would only be featured in Annexure C in the 'FINAL BUDGET' and is not part of the budget detail for public comment. I was subsequently provided with the information directly.

I fail to understand how the public can make comment on a draft budget if several annexures are omitted and there is no detail?

4) Chapter 14 Financials: page 280

Ward 3 CCTV cameras. R200 000.00

- a) Please confirm where the situations of these cameras are planned?
- b) How many cameras are planned, does this include maintenance contract thereof?
- c) I understood that HSRA would be installing cameras?
- d) Who will service HSRA cameras and who will service Ward 3 cameras? Is this an overlap and should the Ward 3 funds rather be allocated elsewhere instead of duplicating this?

Response:

The location of the cameras cannot be confirmed yet, but as it is ward funded it will be located within the boundaries of the ward. Security Services and the successful bidder will work hand in hand to determine the best location for the camera, guided by Police statistics.

The number of cameras installed depends on the cost per camera and the cost of connectivity from site to the control room. This price cannot be determined beforehand as it depends on the price of the bidder. Once the tender is awarded we will have a better view on the cost.

The HSRA was approved by Council and a joint roll-out plan will determine where the cameras will be situated in conjunction with Police statistics

5) Chapter 14 Financials: page 281

Hermanus Ward 03 FERNKLOOF NATURE RESERVE FACILITIES (F1/2) S Muller

Land Sales R/O 2 100 000 2 100 000

Hermanus Ward 03 FERNKLOOF NATURE RESERVE FACILITIES (F2/2) S Muller

Surplus non tariff 3 600 000 3 600 000

- a) Please indicate what these allocations represent?
- b) Is it associated with the PAMP 2020/2025 plan?
- c) How does one interpret this if there is no detail?

Thank you, I look forward to your clarification per email.

Pat Redford

patred@iafrica.com.

Erf 1580 Hermanus

From: Green Renaissance <info@greenrenaissance.co.za>
To: <idp@overstrand.gov.za>
CC: <budget@overstrand.gov.za>, <sdf@overstrand.gov.za>, <wmp@overstrand.gov.za>
Date: 2020/04/10 12:16
Subject: IDP Comments

Please find my short comments on the draft budget and IDP below,

Page 112- 7) Coastal Coordinators (DEFF): "Four Coastal Coordinators that are all post- graduate students. The Coastal Coordinators assist with the monitoring of harbours, fish counts, catch monitoring, pollution incidents and environmental education or any other coastal orientated projects that is required from DEFF or the Environmental Department." Can one of these coordinators be allocated to the Hawston area so that they might be able help monitor Hawston slipway?

Response:

The Municipality do not allocate the DEFF tasks to the monitors and Senior Manager: Environmental Services will have to ask their supervisor Mr Ayanda Matoti if this could be done but normally the tasks of the monitors are set according to signed off work plan.

Page 112 - Invasive management plan. - While I commend the increase from R250 000 to 11 million I would like to confirm that the dedicated AVM team include Hawston as a key priority area especially in light of a directive issued to the Municipality compelling it to clear ERF 1 which is owned by the Municipality while borders ERF 572/0 (Hoek Van De Berg Nature Reserve)

Response:

The Environmental Services Department is currently busy with the procurement process to appoint a service provider to clear the area as per the directive. We have finally reached the SCM process and will be advertising within the next two weeks if all goes well.

Regarding the R11million referred to in the mail, this is the estimated amount required to clear all 6 500ha's of Municipal land in a once off and is not the allocation that was made for the Alien Clearing for the new financial year or next 3 years.

Page 95 - Resolved by Council in 2017 that "the registration of Hawston slipway as public launching site be recommended to the Provincial Minister of Local Government, Environmental Affairs and Development Planning. The Municipality after various correspondence and engagements the matter is still unresolved. "

This need to be thoroughly investigated at present Hawston Harbor and slipway is completely unregulated it is the main gateway for all poaching, yet there is no urgency in regulating and controlling this slipway - this should be urgently addressed.

Response:

The Public Launching Site (PLS) at Hawston is under the control of National Department of Public Works. Correspondence has been sent in the past to the Provincial Department of Environmental Affairs in order to resolve the registration of the PLS and transfer of facility to the Municipality.

Page 133: " the municipality is continually investigating all options regarding the availability of land for

housing development. This includes public and private land and the possibility of purchasing land through the housing programmes. Initiatives currently underway are in Kleinmond, Hawston and Hermanus (Zwelihle/ Mount Pleasant).” - This is very vague when you mention initiatives are underway on areas like Hawston - please will you mention Erf Numbers and status of initiatives, to simply mention initiatives are underway is not enough - for years the Hawston Community have been awaiting decisions on Erf's 749, Erf 572/8 and Erf 575/6 - no confirmation has been forth coming - the community needs transparency. It is not enough to simply say “initiatives are underway”.

Response:

On page 132 it is stated that "the urban edge can also be extended into the Fisherhaven/Hawston area to allow for the establishment of an integrated development area. The identified portion of land has been included in the Hermanus West Provincial Catalytic Project and planning will be done by the Provincial Department of Human Settlements in conjunction with the Municipality." The area/land identified is indicated in the SDF (also currently advertised for public comment) on page 195. The land includes all the portions previously discussed with the community of Hawston. The Municipality prepared a proposal that was submitted to the provincial and national Departments of Human Settlements. We are awaiting the outcome of the proposal.

Page 136 - in your table you have said under Hawston point 10 Afdaks ... Erf number 572/8 - this is incorrect should readers 575/6

Response:

Corrected in the Final IDP review and amendment to read: Restructure zone site no 10 is on Farm 575/6.

Thank you,
Michael Raimondo

03 May 2020

Mr C. Groenewald Municipal
Manager Overstrand Local
Municipality

BY EMAIL: cgroenewald@overstrand.gov.za

Dear Mr Groenewald

WRITTEN COMMENTS FOR IDP OF 2020/2021

1. We address this letter to you in our capacity as a registered community organisation, acting as such through our local AfriForum Branch.
2. As community organisation, we feel obliged to assist you in identifying key areas of improvement in order to continually promote the standards of living, as well as the safety of our members and the general community
3. Section 152 of the Constitution stipulates the different objectives of the local government. More specifically, section 152(d) stipulates that a municipality must encourage the involvement of communities and community organisations in the matters of local government. The municipality's IDP is a very important matter for all residence in the Ekurhuleni area because it has a direct effect on their area.
4. As the time for drafting the IDP for 2020/2021 is coming closer, we would like to form part of the public participation process for the drafting of the municipality's IDP. We want to do this by submitting, in writing, a few pressing issues that we, as an organisation, feel needs to be resolved and therefor needs to be included in the IDP. Thus, please find attached a list of all the issues the we've identified.



5. Because involving community in matters of local government is one of your objectives we trust that you will take this submission into consideration when drafting the IDP. For this purpose, your attention is directed to Regulation 15 of the Local Government: Municipal Planning and Performance Management Regulations, 2001 (published under GN R796 in GG 22605 of 24 August 2001) which provides as follows:

15 Community participation in respect of integrated development planning and performance management

(1)(a) In the absence of an appropriate municipal wide structure for community participation, a municipality must establish a forum that will enhance community participation in-

(i) the drafting and implementation of the municipality's integrated development plan; and

(ii) the monitoring, measurement and review of the municipality's performance in relation to the key performance indicators and performance targets set by the municipality.

(b) Before establishing a forum in terms of paragraph (a), a municipality must, through appropriate mechanisms, invite the local community to identify persons to serve on the forum, including representatives from ward committees, if any.

(c) A forum established in terms of paragraph (a) must be representative of the composition of the local community of the municipality concerned.

(2) A municipality must-

(a) convene regular meetings of the forum referred to in subregulation (1) to-

(i) discuss the process to be followed in drafting the integrated development plan;

(ii) consult on the content of the integrated development plan;

(iii) monitor the implementation of the integrated development plan;

(iv) discuss the development, implementation and review of the municipality's performance management system; and

(v) monitor the municipality's performance in relation to the key performance indicators and performance targets set by the municipality; and

(b) allow members of the forum at least 14 days before any meeting of the forum to consult their respective constituencies on the matters that will be discussed at such a meeting.

(3) A municipality must afford the local community at least 21 days to comment on the final draft of its integrated development plan before the plan is submitted to the council for adoption.

Response:

We do have a Overstrand-wide Forum, OMAF, which ensure that we comply with Section 15 (1)(a) of the MSA. The Municipality furthermore has a functional Ward Committee system, as required by the Structures Act. Meetings are scheduled and held on a monthly basis, in line with Council's monthly meeting cycles.

During August- November 2019 the ward communities in liaison with the community reviewed their ward priorities for the 2020/21 IDP review process.

The public meetings on the draft IDP review and amendment as well as the Draft Budget, scheduled for April 2020 could unfortunately not take place due to the President declaring the COVID-19 pandemic a National State of Disaster on 15 March 2020. A nationwide lockdown was imposed from 27 March 2020 and stringent measures were announced to contain the spread of the Covid-19 virus, amongst these was the prohibition of public gatherings. The draft IDP review and proposed amendment, draft Budget and draft sector plans were advertised on electronic media platforms and the municipal website. The public were afforded the opportunity of commenting on the draft IDP review and proposed amendment until 28 April 2020.

6. We would like to thank you in advance for taking our submission into consideration and resolving these issues for the community.

Kind regards

Johardt van Heerden
District Coordinator
AfriForum
Cell: 081 217 0150
Email: johardt.vanheerden@afriforum.co.za

1. Cemeteries

We have received the following complaints regarding the cemetery in Overstrand are:

- the municipality isn't maintaining the cemetery
- the cemetery is totally overgrown by grass as the municipality doesn't cut the grass regularly.

We therefore request that the municipality use this IDP process to work out a proper schedule for routine cleaning of the cemeteries and ensure that it gets cleaned regularly.

Our AfriForum branch is willing to meet with the municipality to discuss how we can assist you in this regard.

Response:

Cemeteries are being maintained by a dedicated team, in line with a work program. Specific challenges/incidents can be brought to the attention of the local Senior Manager responsible for the local administration/area.

2. Public Nuisances

There has been a lot of complaints regarding the large amount of homeless people sleeping on the sidewalks and in the parks and in caves. The homeless people cause a safety hazard and often harass people/ tourists on the street.

Because the Overstrand area relies heavily on the income from tourist we need to address the problem to prevent a situation where tourist doesn't come to Overstrand due to safety issues.

We therefor request that the municipality budget accordingly to provide these people with a proper shelter where they can go to in the evening.

Response:

A Public Safety Forum is established in Hermanus of which AfriForum is a stakeholder. The Forum meets on a monthly basis.

3. Cleansing

Cleansing in general of the open spaces like parks has not been done by the municipality leading to the town being dirty and looks unkept. Community members also complain about homeless people living in the park, causing a safety risk.

We therefore request that the municipality use this IDP process to work out a proper schedule for routine cleaning of these areas and parks. Our AfriForum branch is willing to meet with the municipality to discuss how we can assist you in this regard.

Response:

The general statement on *public open spaces like parks* is vague. Public parks are cleaned and maintained per a scheduled work programme. Specific challenges/incidents can be brought to the attention of the local Senior Manager responsible for the local administration/area.

OVERBERG

**DISTRIKSMUNISIPALITEIT
DISTRICT MUNICIPALITY
UMASIPALA WESITHILI**



MELD ASB/PLEASE QUOTE

Ons Verw./Our Ref.: 16/7

Navrae/Enquiries: Vanessa Zeeman

Bylyn/Ext.: 201

Privaatsak: X22

Private Bag:
BREDASDORP
7280

Tel.: (028) 425 1157

Faks/Fax: (028) 425 1014

e-mail/e-pos: vanessa@odm.org.za

5 May 2020

Overstrand Municipality
Magnolia Street
HERMANUS
7201

ATTENTION: Ms Rochelle Louw

Dear Madam

PROPOSED INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT PLAN (IDP) AMENDMENT 2020/2021

The Overberg District Municipality note the proposal of Overstrand Municipality to embark on an amendment process of the Municipality's five-year Integrated Development Plan (IDP), due to the Municipality's Draft Amended Spatial Development Framework (SDF), which Sector Plan serves as a core component of the IDP.

The Overberg District Municipality is satisfied that Overstrand Municipality, guided by the Spatial Planning and Land Use Management Act (SPLUMA), 2013, indeed followed due process by advertising the proposed changes to the SDF for public comment for a period of 60 days.

It is therefore confirmed that the Overberg District Municipality note the process that was followed by Overstrand Municipality in terms of the above process and is in support of the proposed IDP amendment.

Yours faithfully

.....
DP BERETTI
MUNICIPAL MANAGER

**Alle korrespondensie moet aan die Munisipale Bestuurder gerig word.
All correspondence must be addressed to the Municipal Manager.**